APPENDIX E: Lower Road Rail Use Advisory Committee (RUAC) - Public Comments Received

Error check (100% total re 100% | Total responses (without duplic 214

Input Provided

#	Commenter Name	Notes (including affiliation)	Repeat Commenter (add 1 which notes the commenter previously noted support for one option. If 1 is noted	Town (if stated)	Comment Month	RUAC or Public Meeting Date	Email Comment Date	Lives close to the trail / tracks	Abutter of Rail ROW	Supports Trail	Supports Trail (repeat commenter)	Supports Rail With Trail (RWT)	Supports RWT (repeat commenter)	Non-motorized / Non-motorized	"Multi-use" trail - not always clear if bikes(ped/ski/etc) wishes or motorized included	Supports motorized use (ATVs & Snowmobiles)	General Safety & separation from vehicles a priority	Safe for kids, seniors, families	Lacks other safe place to ride (& lacks shoulder / narrow roads, high speed traffic)	Aggressive & distracted drivers are getting worse/speeding	Transportation option and/or commuting/errands & improves transpo. Network (and connect	General Environment/CO2 emissions (vehicle dependency)	Reduce traffic / congestion	Health Physical & Mental, Wellness General	Encourages Recreation / Outdoor Recreation	Encourages exercise	Nature exposure benefits & access to unique natural areas	Social benefit / Community Connection / Neighborhood engagement / Social	Economic Benefits of Trail/Economic Development - general including	Could generate tourism	Amenity / asset / add value to community - influences people moving to the area who desire	Improved Livability / desirability of community / quality of life	Supports a "trail until rail" option (did not tabulate this for all months)	Supports Passenger Rail service (repeat commenter)	Rail could help re/Climate change / reduce traffic & car trips / reduce emissions / fuel	Provide more alternate transpo options, supports aging residents	Rail could add to regional economy (& support tourism, link to other scenic rails)	Alternative if poi prices rise further	Supports affordable housing options	Provide affordable transit year round for those w/o cars / Mobility Options	Helps a wider range of constituents	Stance - neutral	Neither supported trail or rail options or stated as neutral specifically (asked question or	Repeat commenter neutral/other	Asked a question	Concerns on privacy, liability (abutter)	Comments about station siting issues	Abutter concerns	Other (add note to right) *Some other notes are from pro trail or pro rail comments
										Supports Trail																							Supports Passenger Rail service																	

| | | |